

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 7953 of 2003

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE JAYANT PATEL

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned : NO
Magistrate/Magistrates, Judge/Judges, Tribunal/Tribunals?

MANOHAR BECHARDAS WAGHELA

Versus

REGIONAL PASSPORT OFFICER

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 7953 of 2003
MR MURALI N DEVNANI for Petitioner No. 1
MR DN PATEL for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE JAYANT PATEL

Date of decision: 26/06/2003

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. The affidavit of the petitioner not to claim any
additional benefits in the service record on account of

the correction of the birth-date, if ultimately accepted by the passport authorities is taken on record.

2. Rule. Mr.N.J.Shah for Mr.D.N.Patel for respondents waives service of rule. With the consent of parties matter is taken up for final hearing today.

3. The contention raised by the petitioner is that the passport was already issued and the same has already expired. However, the birth-date initially in the passport was recorded as 25-2-1948 whereas the correct birth-date is 3-2-1950. The petitioner submits that he wants to submit application for new passport with correct birth-date, however, the authorities have declined to consider the same in the absence of any order passed by this court.

4. On behalf of Passport authorities it has been submitted that earlier on the basis of School Leaving Certificate passport has been issued. If this court directs the passport authorities, they will consider the matter.

5. Mr.Devnani for the petitioner submits that in similar matters this court has passed orders giving directions to the passport authorities to reconsider the matter and to pass appropriate orders and thereafter to incorporate necessary correction in the new passport.

6. In view of the above, the respondent is directed to consider the case of the petitioner for change in birth-date from 25-2-1948 to 3-2-1950 in the passport of the petitioner bearing No.B3518169 and to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. The respondent authorities shall take decision within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of writ of this court. However, the petitioner shall pay costs of Rs.2,500/- to the respondent authorities since the passport authorities can not be saddled with the costs of litigation.

7. It is clarified that this Court has not gone into the legality and validity and genuineness of the above correction(s). Any order passed by the Passport Authority shall not give any additional right to the petitioner, in any other field, on account of the change, including that of service or any other field. Petition is allowed accordingly. Rule is made absolute.

Direct service is permitted.

26-6-2002 (Jayant Patel, J.)

vinod